

THE UNIX OPERATING SYSTEM

William Stallings

This document is an extract from
Operating Systems: Internals and Design Principles, Fifth Edition
Prentice Hall, 2005, ISBN 0-13-147954-7

Copyright 2005 William Stallings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.6 TRADITIONAL UNIX SYSTEMS.....	3
History.....	3
Description.....	4
2.7 MODERN UNIX SYSTEMS	5
System V Release 4 (SVR4).....	5
Solaris 9.....	6
4.4BSD.....	6
3.5 UNIX SVR4 PROCESS MANAGEMENT.....	7
Process States.....	7
Process Description.....	9
Process Control.....	13
4.5 SOLARIS THREAD AND SMP MANAGEMENT	15
Multithreaded Architecture.....	15
Motivation.....	16
Process Structure.....	18
Thread Execution.....	18
Interrupts as Threads.....	20
6.7 UNIX CONCURRENCY MECHANISMS.....	22
Pipes.....	22
Messages.....	23
Shared Memory.....	23
Semaphores.....	23
Signals.....	25
6.9 SOLARIS THREAD SYNCHRONIZATION PRIMITIVES.....	27
Mutual Exclusion Lock.....	27
Semaphores.....	28
Readers/Writer Lock.....	28
Condition Variables.....	29
8.3 UNIX AND SOLARIS MEMORY MANAGEMENT	31
Paging System.....	31
Data Structures.....	31
Page Replacement.....	34
Kernel Memory Allocator.....	35
9.3 TRADITIONAL UNIX SCHEDULING.....	38
10.4 UNIX SVR4 SCHEDULING	40
11.8 UNIX SVR4 I/O.....	42
Buffer Cache.....	42
Character Queue.....	43
Unbuffered I/O.....	44
UNIX Devices.....	44
12.7 UNIX FILE MANAGEMENT	46
Inodes.....	46
File Allocation.....	48
Directories.....	49
Volume Structure.....	49

2.6 TRADITIONAL UNIX SYSTEMS

History

The history of UNIX is an oft-told tale and will not be repeated in great detail here. Instead, we provide a brief summary.

UNIX was initially developed at Bell Labs and became operational on a PDP-7 in 1970. Some of the people involved at Bell Labs had also participated in the time-sharing work being done at MIT's Project MAC. That project led to the development of first CTSS and then Multics. Although it is common to say that the original UNIX was a scaled-down version of Multics, the developers of UNIX actually claimed to be more influenced by CTSS [RITC78]. Nevertheless, UNIX incorporated many ideas from Multics.

Work on UNIX at Bell Labs, and later elsewhere, produced a series of versions of UNIX. The first notable milestone was porting the UNIX system from the PDP-7 to the PDP-11. This was the first hint that UNIX would be an operating system for all computers. The next important milestone was the rewriting of UNIX in the programming language C. This was an unheard-of strategy at the time. It was generally felt that something as complex as an operating system, which must deal with time-critical events, had to be written exclusively in assembly language. The C implementation demonstrated the advantages of using a high-level language for most if not all of the system code. Today, virtually all UNIX implementations are written in C.

These early versions of UNIX were popular within Bell Labs. In 1974, the UNIX system was described in a technical journal for the first time [RITC74]. This spurred great interest in the system. Licenses for UNIX were provided to commercial institutions as well as universities. The first widely available version outside Bell Labs was Version 6, in 1976. The follow-on Version 7, released in 1978, is the ancestor of most modern UNIX systems. The most important of the non-AT&T systems to be developed was done at the University of California at Berkeley, called UNIX BSD (Berkeley Software Distribution), running first on PDP and then VAX machines. AT&T continued to develop and refine the system. By 1982, Bell Labs had combined several

AT&T variants of UNIX into a single system, marketed commercially as UNIX System III. A number of features was later added to the operating system to produce UNIX System V.

Description

Figure 2.14 provides a general description of the UNIX architecture. The underlying hardware is surrounded by the operating system software. The operating system is often called the system kernel, or simply the kernel, to emphasize its isolation from the user and applications. This portion of UNIX is what we will be concerned with in our use of UNIX as an example in this book. However, UNIX comes equipped with a number of user services and interfaces that are considered part of the system. These can be grouped into the shell, other interface software, and the components of the C compiler (compiler, assembler, loader). The layer outside of this consists of user applications and the user interface to the C compiler.

A closer look at the kernel is provided in Figure 2.15. User programs can invoke operating system services either directly or through library programs. The system call interface is the boundary with the user and allows higher-level software to gain access to specific kernel functions. At the other end, the operating system contains primitive routines that interact directly with the hardware. Between these two interfaces, the system is divided into two main parts, one concerned with process control and the other concerned with file management and I/O. The process control subsystem is responsible for memory management, the scheduling and dispatching of processes, and the synchronization and interprocess communication of processes. The file system exchanges data between memory and external devices either as a stream of characters or in blocks. To achieve this, a variety of device drivers are used. For block-oriented transfers, a disk cache approach is used: a system buffer in main memory is interposed between the user address space and the external device.

The description in this subsection has dealt with what might be termed traditional UNIX systems; [VAHA96] uses this term to refer to System V Release 3 (SVR3), 4.3BSD, and earlier versions. The following general statements may be made about a traditional UNIX system. It is




Figure 2.14 General UNIX Architecture




Figure 2.15 Traditional UNIX Kernel [BACH86]

designed to run on a single processor and lacks the ability to protect its data structures from concurrent access by multiple processors. Its kernel is not very versatile, supporting a single type of file system, process scheduling policy, and executable file format. The traditional UNIX kernel is not designed to be extensible and has few facilities for code reuse. The result is that, as new features were added to the various UNIX versions, much new code had to be added, yielding a bloated and unmodular kernel.

2.7 MODERN UNIX SYSTEMS

As UNIX evolved, the number of different implementations proliferated, each providing some useful features. There was a need to produce a new implementation that unified many of the important innovations, added other modern operating system design features, and produced a more modular architecture. Typical of the modern UNIX kernel is the architecture depicted in Figure 2.16. There is a small core of facilities, written in a modular fashion, that provide functions and services needed by a number of operating system processes. Each of the outer circles represents functions and an interface that may be implemented in a variety of ways.

We now turn to some examples of modern UNIX systems.

System V Release 4 (SVR4)

SVR4, developed jointly by AT&T and Sun Microsystems, combines features from SVR3, 4.3BSD, Microsoft Xenix System V, and SunOS. It was almost a total rewrite of the System V kernel and produced a clean, if complex, implementation. New features in the release include real-time processing support, process scheduling classes, dynamically allocated data structures, virtual memory management, virtual file system, and a preemptive kernel.

SVR4 draws on the efforts of both commercial and academic designers and was developed to provide a uniform platform for commercial UNIX deployment. It has succeeded in this objective and is perhaps the most important UNIX variant. It incorporates most of the important




Figure 2.16 Modern UNIX Kernel [VAHA96]

features ever developed on any UNIX system and does so in an integrated, commercially viable fashion. SVR4 is running on machines ranging from 32-bit microprocessors up to supercomputers. Many of the UNIX examples in this book are from SVR4.

Solaris 9

Solaris is Sun's SVR4-based UNIX release, with the latest version being 9. Solaris provides all of the features of SVR4 plus a number of more advanced features, such as a fully preemptable, multithreaded kernel, full support for SMP, and an object-oriented interface to file systems. Solaris is the most widely used and most successful commercial UNIX implementation. For some operating system features, Solaris provides the UNIX examples in this book.

4.4BSD

The Berkeley Software Distribution (BSD) series of UNIX releases have played a key role in the development of operating system design theory. 4.xBSD is widely used in academic installations and has served as the basis of a number of commercial UNIX products. It is probably safe to say that BSD is responsible for much of the popularity of UNIX and that most enhancements to UNIX first appeared in BSD versions.

4.4BSD was the final version of BSD to be released by Berkeley, with the design and implementation organization subsequently dissolved. It is a major upgrade to 4.3BSD and includes a new virtual memory system, changes in the kernel structure, and a long list of other feature enhancements.

The latest version of the Macintosh operating system, Mac OS X, is based on 4.4BSD.

3.5 UNIX SVR4 PROCESS MANAGEMENT

UNIX System V makes use of a simple but powerful process facility that is highly visible to the user. UNIX follows the model of Figure 3.15b, in which most of the operating system executes within the environment of a user process. Thus, two modes, user and kernel, are required. UNIX uses two categories of processes: system processes and user processes. System processes run in kernel mode and execute operating system code to perform administrative and housekeeping functions, such as allocation of memory and process swapping. User processes operate in user mode to execute user programs and utilities and in kernel mode to execute instructions that belong to the kernel. A user process enters kernel mode by issuing a system call, when an exception (fault) is generated, or when an interrupt occurs.

Process States

A total of nine process states are recognized by the UNIX operating system; these are listed in Table 3.9 and a state transition diagram is shown in Figure 3.17 (based on figure in [BACH86]). This figure is similar to Figure 3.9b, with the two UNIX sleeping states corresponding to the two blocked states. The differences can be summarized quickly:

- UNIX employs two Running states to indicate whether the process is executing in user mode or kernel mode.
- A distinction is made between the two states: (Ready to Run, in Memory) and (Preempted). These are essentially the same state, as indicated by the dotted line joining them. The distinction is made to emphasize the way in which the preempted state is entered. When a process is running in kernel mode (as a result of a supervisor call, clock interrupt, or I/O interrupt), there will come a time when the kernel has completed its work and is ready to return control to the user program. At this point, the kernel may decide to preempt the current process in favor of one that is ready and of higher priority. In that case, the current




Figure 3.17 UNIX Process State Transition Diagram

process moves to the preempted state. However, for purposes of dispatching, those processes in the preempted state and those in the Ready to Run, in Memory state form one queue.

Preemption can only occur when a process is about to move from kernel mode to user mode. While a process is running in kernel mode, it may not be preempted. This makes UNIX unsuitable for real-time processing. A discussion of the requirements for real-time processing is provided in Chapter 10.

Two processes are unique in UNIX. Process 0 is a special process that is created when the system boots; in effect, it is predefined as a data structure loaded at boot time. It is the swapper process. In addition, process 0 spawns process 1, referred to as the init process; all other processes in the system have process 1 as an ancestor. When a new interactive user logs onto the system, it is process 1 that creates a user process for that user. Subsequently, the user process can create child processes in a branching tree, so that any particular application can consist of a number of related processes.

Table 3.9 UNIX Process States

User Running	Executing in user mode.
Kernel Running	Executing in kernel mode.
Ready to Run, in Memory	Ready to run as soon as the kernel schedules it.
Asleep in Memory	Unable to execute until an event occurs; process is in main memory (a blocked state).
Ready to Run, Swapped	Process is ready to run, but the swapper must swap the process into main memory before the kernel can schedule it to execute.
Sleeping, Swapped	The process is awaiting an event and has been swapped to secondary storage (a blocked state).
Preempted	Process is returning from kernel to user mode, but the kernel preempts it and does a process switch to schedule another process.
Created	Process is newly created and not yet ready to run.
Zombie	Process no longer exists, but it leaves a record for its parent process to collect.

Process Description

A process in UNIX is a rather complex set of data structures that provide the operating system with all of the information necessary to manage and dispatch processes. Table 3.10 summarizes the elements of the process image, which are organized into three parts: user-level context, register context, and system-level context.

Table 3.10 UNIX Process Image

User-Level Context	
Process text	Executable machine instructions of the program
Process data	Data accessible by the program of this process
User stack	Contains the arguments, local variables, and pointers for functions executing in user mode
Shared memory	Memory shared with other processes, used for interprocess communication
Register Context	
Program counter	Address of next instruction to be executed; may be in kernel or user memory space of this process
Processor status register	Contains the hardware status at the time of preemption; contents and format are hardware dependent
Stack pointer	Points to the top of the kernel or user stack, depending on the mode of operation at the time of preemption
General-purpose registers	Hardware dependent
System-Level Context	
Process table entry	Defines state of a process; this information is always accessible to the operating system
U (user) area	Process control information that needs to be accessed only in the context of the process
Per process region table	Defines the mapping from virtual to physical addresses; also contains a permission field that indicates the type of access allowed the process: read-only, read-write, or read-execute
Kernel stack	Contains the stack frame of kernel procedures as the process executes in kernel mode

The **user-level context** contains the basic elements of a user's program and can be generated directly from a compiled object file. The user's program is separated into text and data areas; the text area is read-only and is intended to hold the program's instructions. While the process is executing, the processor uses the user stack area for procedure calls and returns and parameter passing. The shared memory area is a data area that is shared with other processes. There is only one physical copy of a shared memory area, but, by the use of virtual memory, it appears to each sharing process that the shared memory region is in its address space. When a process is not running, the processor status information is stored in the **register context** area.

The **system-level context** contains the remaining information that the operating system needs to manage the process. It consists of a static part, which is fixed in size and stays with a process throughout its lifetime, and a dynamic part, which varies in size through the life of the

process. One element of the static part is the process table entry. This is actually part of the process table maintained by the operating system, with one entry per process. The process table entry contains process control information that is accessible to the kernel at all times; hence, in a virtual memory system, all process table entries are maintained in main memory. Table 3.11 lists the contents of a process table entry. The user area, or U area, contains additional process control information that is needed by the kernel when it is executing in the context of this process; it is also used when paging processes to and from memory. Table 3.12 shows the contents of this table.

The distinction between the process table entry and the U area reflects the fact that the UNIX kernel always executes in the context of some process. Much of the time, the kernel will be dealing with the concerns of that process. However, some of the time, such as when the kernel is performing a scheduling algorithm preparatory to dispatching another process, it will need access to information about other processes. The information in a process table can be accessed when the given process is not the current one.

The third static portion of the system-level context is the per process region table, which is used by the memory management system. Finally, the kernel stack is the dynamic portion of the system-level context. This stack is used when the process is executing in kernel mode and contains the information that must be saved and restored as procedure calls and interrupts occur.

Table 3.11 UNIX Process Table Entry

Process status	Current state of process.
Pointers	To U area and process memory area (text, data, stack).
Process size	Enables the operating system to know how much space to allocate the process.
User identifiers	The real user ID identifies the user who is responsible for the running process. The effective user ID may be used by a process to gain temporary privileges associated with a particular program; while that program is being executed as part of the process, the process operates with the effective user ID.
Process identifiers	ID of this process; ID of parent process. These are set up when the process enters the Created state during the fork system call.
Event descriptor	Valid when a process is in a sleeping state; when the event occurs, the process is transferred to a ready-to-run state.
Priority	Used for process scheduling.
Signal	Enumerates signals sent to a process but not yet handled.
Timers	Include process execution time, kernel resource utilization, and user-set timer used to send alarm signal to a process.
P_link	Pointer to the next link in the ready queue (valid if process is ready to execute).
Memory status	Indicates whether process image is in main memory or swapped out. If it is in memory, this field also indicates whether it may be swapped out or is temporarily locked into main memory.

Table 3.12 UNIX U Area

Process table pointer	Indicates entry that corresponds to the U area.
User identifiers	Real and effective user IDs. Used to determine user privileges.
Timers	Record time that the process (and its descendants) spent executing in user mode and in kernel mode.
Signal-handler array	For each type of signal defined in the system, indicates how the process will react to receipt of that signal (exit, ignore, execute specified user function).
Control terminal	Indicates login terminal for this process, if one exists.
Error field	Records errors encountered during a system call.
Return value	Contains the result of system calls.
I/O parameters	Describe the amount of data to transfer, the address of the source (or target) data array in user space, and file offsets for I/O.
File parameters	Current directory and current root describe the file system environment of the process.
User file descriptor table	Records the files the process has open.
Limit fields	Restrict the size of the process and the size of a file it can write.
Permission modes fields	Mask mode settings on files the process creates.

Process Control

Process creation in UNIX is made by means of the kernel system call, `fork()`. When a process issues a fork request, the operating system performs the following functions [BACH86]:

1. It allocates a slot in the process table for the new process.
2. It assigns a unique process ID to the child process.
3. It makes a copy of the process image of the parent, with the exception of any shared memory.
4. It increments counters for any files owned by the parent, to reflect that an additional process now also owns those files.

5. It assigns the child process to the Ready to Run state.
6. It returns the ID number of the child to the parent process, and a 0 value to the child process.

All of this work is accomplished in kernel mode in the parent process. When the kernel has completed these functions it can do one of the following, as part of the dispatcher routine:

1. Stay in the parent process. Control returns to user mode at the point of the fork call of the parent.
2. Transfer control to the child process. The child process begins executing at the same point in the code as the parent, namely at the return from the fork call.
3. Transfer control to another process. Both parent and child are left in the Ready to Run state.

It is perhaps difficult to visualize this method of process creation because both parent and child are executing the same passage of code. The difference is this: when the return from the fork occurs, the return parameter is tested. If the value is zero, then this is the child process, and a branch can be executed to the appropriate user program to continue execution. If the value is nonzero, then this is the parent process, and the main line of execution can continue.

4.5 SOLARIS THREAD AND SMP MANAGEMENT

Solaris implements an unusual multilevel thread support designed to provide considerable flexibility in exploiting processor resources.

Multithreaded Architecture

Solaris makes use of four separate thread-related concepts:

- **Process:** This is the normal UNIX process and includes the user's address space, stack, and process control block.
- **User-level threads:** Implemented through a threads library in the address space of a process, these are invisible to the operating system. User-level threads (ULTs)¹ are the interface for application parallelism.
- **Lightweight processes:** A lightweight process (LWP) can be viewed as a mapping between ULTs and kernel threads. Each LWP supports one or more ULTs and maps to one kernel thread. LWPs are scheduled by the kernel independently and may execute in parallel on multiprocessors.
- **Kernel threads:** These are the fundamental entities that can be scheduled and dispatched to run on one of the system processors.

Figure 4.15 illustrates the relationship among these four entities. Note that there is always exactly one kernel thread for each LWP. An LWP is visible within a process to the application. Thus, LWP data structures exist within their respective process address space. At the same time, each LWP is bound to a single dispatchable kernel thread, and the data structure for that kernel thread is maintained within the kernel's address space.

¹ Again, the acronym ULT is unique to this book and is not found in the Solaris literature.




Figure 4.15 Solaris Multithreaded Architecture Example

In our example, process 1 consists of a single ULT bound to a single LWP. Thus, there is a single thread of execution, corresponding to a traditional UNIX process. When concurrency is not required within a single process, an application uses this process structure. Process 2 corresponds to a pure ULT strategy. All of the ULTs are supported by a single kernel thread, and therefore only one ULT can execute at a time. This structure is useful for an application that can best be programmed in a way that expresses concurrency but for which it is not necessary to have parallel execution of multiple threads. Process 3 shows multiple threads multiplexed on a lesser number of LWPs. In general, Solaris allows applications to multiplex ULTs on a lesser or equal number of LWPs. This enables the application to specify the degree of parallelism at the kernel level that will support this process. Process 4 has its threads permanently bound to LWPs in a one-to-one mapping. This structure makes the kernel-level parallelism fully visible to the application. It is useful if threads will typically or frequently be suspended in a blocking fashion. Process 5 shows both a mapping of multiple ULTs onto multiple LWPs and the binding of a ULT to a LWP. In addition, one LWP is bound to a particular processor.

Not shown in the figure is the presence of kernel threads that are not associated with LWPs. The kernel creates, runs, and destroys these kernel threads to execute specific system functions. The use of kernel threads rather than kernel processes to implement system functions reduces the overhead of switching within the kernel (from a process switch to a thread switch).

Motivation

The combination of user-level and kernel-level threads gives the application programmer the opportunity to exploit concurrency in a way that is most efficient and most appropriate to a given application.

Some programs have logical parallelism that can be exploited to simplify and structure the code but do not need hardware parallelism. For example, an application that employs multiple windows, only one of which is active at a time, could with advantage be implemented as a set of ULTs on a single LWP. The advantage of restricting such applications to ULTs is efficiency.

ULTs may be created, destroyed, blocked, activated, and so on, without involving the kernel. If each ULT were known to the kernel, the kernel would have to allocate kernel data structures for each one and perform thread switching. As we have seen (Table 4.1), kernel-level thread switching is more expensive than user-level thread switching.

If an application involves threads that may block, such as when performing I/O, then having multiple LWPs to support an equal or greater number of ULTs is attractive. Neither the application nor the threads library need perform contortions to allow other threads within the same process to execute. Instead, if one thread in a process blocks, other threads within the process may run on the remaining LWPs.

Mapping ULTs one-to-one to LWPs is effective for some applications. For example, a parallel array computation could divide the rows of its arrays among different threads. If there is exactly one ULT per LWP, then no thread switching is required for the computation to proceed.

A mixture of threads that are permanently bound to LWPs and unbound threads (multiple threads sharing multiple LWPs) is appropriate for some applications. For example, a real-time application may want some threads to have system wide priority and real-time scheduling, while other threads perform background functions and can share one or a small pool of LWPs.

Table 4.1 Thread and Process Operation Latencies (μ s) [ANDE92]

Operation	User-Level Threads	Kernel-Level Threads	Processes
Null Fork	34	948	11,300
Signal Wait	37	441	1,840

Process Structure

Figure 4.16 compares, in general terms, the process structure of a traditional UNIX system with that of Solaris. On a typical UNIX implementation, the process structure includes the process ID; the user IDs; a signal dispatch table, which the kernel uses to decide what to do when sending a signal to a process; file descriptors, which describe the state of files in use by this process; a memory map, which defines the address space for this process; and a processor state structure, which includes the kernel stack for this process. Solaris retains this basic structure but replaces the processor state block with a list of structures containing one data block for each LWP.


The LWP data structure includes the following elements:

- An LWP identifier
- The priority of this LWP and hence the kernel thread that supports it
- A signal mask that tells the kernel which signals will be accepted
- Saved values of user-level registers (when the LWP is not running)
- The kernel stack for this LWP, which includes system call arguments, results, and error codes for each call level
- Resource usage and profiling data
- Pointer to the corresponding kernel thread
- Pointer to the process structure

Thread Execution

Figure 4.17 shows a simplified view of both ULT and LWP execution states. The execution of user-level threads is managed by the threads library. Let us first consider unbound threads, that is, threads that share a number of LWPs. An unbound thread can be in one of four states: runnable, active, sleeping, or stopped. A ULT in the active state is currently assigned to a LWP and executes while the underlying kernel thread executes. A number of events may cause the

UNIX Process Structure



Solaris Process Structure




Figure 4.16 Process Structure in Traditional UNIX and Solaris [LEWI96]





Figure 4.17 Solaris User-Level Thread and LWP States

ULT to leave the active state. Let us consider an active ULT called T1. The following events may occur:

- **Synchronization:** T1 invokes one of the concurrency primitives discussed in Chapter 5 to coordinate its activity with other threads and to enforce mutual exclusion. T1 is placed in the sleeping state. When the synchronization condition is met, T1 is moved to the runnable state.
- **Suspension:** Any thread (including T1) may cause T1 to be suspended and placed in the stopped state. T1 remains in that state until another thread issues a continue request, which moves it to the runnable state.
- **Preemption:** An active thread (T1 or some other thread) does something that causes another thread (T2) of higher priority to become runnable. If T1 is the lowest-priority active thread, it is preempted and moved to the runnable state, and T2 is assigned to the LWP made available.
- **Yielding:** If T1 executes the `thr_yield()` library command, the threads scheduler in the library will look to see if there is another runnable thread (T2) of the same priority. If so, T1 is placed in the runnable state and T2 is assigned to the LWP that is freed. If not, T1 continues to run.

In all of the preceding cases, when T1 is moved out of the active state, the threads library selects another unbound thread in the runnable state and runs it on the newly available LWP.

Figure 4.17 also shows the state diagram for an LWP. We can view this state diagram as a detailed description of the ULT active state, because an unbound thread only has an LWP assigned to it when it is in the Active state. The LWP state diagram is reasonably self-explanatory. An active thread is only executing when its LWP is in the Running state. When an active thread executes a blocking system call, the LWP enters the Blocked state. However, the

ULT remains bound to that LWP and, as far as the threads library is concerned, that ULT remains active.

With bound threads, the relationship between ULT and LWP is slightly different. For example, if a bound ULT moves to the Sleeping state awaiting a synchronization event, its LWP must also stop running. This is accomplished by having the LWP block on a kernel-level synchronization variable.

Interrupts as Threads

Most operating systems contain two fundamental forms of concurrent activity: processes and interrupts. Processes (or threads) cooperate with each other and manage the use of shared data structures by means of a variety of primitives that enforce mutual exclusion (only one process at a time can execute certain code or access certain data) and that synchronize their execution. Interrupts are synchronized by preventing their handling for a period of time. Solaris unifies these two concepts into a single model, namely kernel threads and the mechanisms for scheduling and executing kernel threads. To do this, interrupts are converted to kernel threads.

The motivation for converting interrupts to threads is to reduce overhead. Interrupt handlers often manipulate data shared by the rest of the kernel. Therefore, while a kernel routine that accesses such data is executing, interrupts must be blocked, even though most interrupts will not affect that data. Typically, the way this is done is for the routine to set the interrupt priority level higher to block interrupts and then lower the priority level after access is completed. These operations take time. The problem is magnified on a multiprocessor system. The kernel must protect more objects and may need to block interrupts on all processors.

The solution in Solaris can be summarized as follows:

1. Solaris employs a set of kernel threads to handle interrupts. As with any kernel thread, an interrupt thread has its own identifier, priority, context, and stack.

2. The kernel controls access to data structures and synchronizes among interrupt threads using mutual exclusion primitives, of the type discussed in Chapter 5. That is, the normal synchronization techniques for threads are used in handling interrupts.
3. Interrupt threads are assigned higher priorities than all other types of kernel threads.

When an interrupt occurs, it is delivered to a particular processor and the thread that was executing on that processor is pinned. A pinned thread cannot move to another processor and its context is preserved; it is simply suspended until the interrupt is processed. The processor then begins executing an interrupt thread. There is a pool of deactivated interrupt threads available, so that a new thread creation is not required. The interrupt thread then executes to handle the interrupt. If the handler routine needs access to a data structure that is currently locked in some fashion for use by another executing thread, the interrupt thread must wait for access to that data structure. An interrupt thread can only be preempted by another interrupt thread of higher priority.

Experience with Solaris interrupt threads indicates that this approach provides superior performance to the traditional interrupt-handling strategy [KLEI95].

6.7 UNIX CONCURRENCY MECHANISMS

UNIX provides a variety of mechanisms for interprocessor communication and synchronization.

Here, we look at the most important of these:

- Pipes
- Messages
- Shared memory
- Semaphores
- Signals

Pipes, messages, and shared memory can be used to communicate data between processes, whereas semaphores and signals are used to trigger actions by other processes.

Pipes

One of the most significant contributions of UNIX to the development of operating systems is the pipe. Inspired by the concept of coroutines [RITC84], a pipe is a circular buffer allowing two processes to communicate on the producer-consumer model. Thus, it is a first-in-first-out queue, written by one process and read by another.

When a pipe is created, it is given a fixed size in bytes. When a process attempts to write into the pipe, the write request is immediately executed if there is sufficient room; otherwise the process is blocked. Similarly, a reading process is blocked if it attempts to read more bytes than are currently in the pipe; otherwise the read request is immediately executed. The operating system enforces mutual exclusion: that is, only one process can access a pipe at a time.

There are two types of pipes: named and unnamed. Only related processes can share unnamed pipes, while either related or unrelated processes can share named pipes.

Messages

A message is a block of bytes with an accompanying type. UNIX provides `msgsnd` and `msgrcv` system calls for processes to engage in message passing. Associated with each process is a message queue, which functions like a mailbox.

The message sender specifies the type of message with each message sent, and this can be used as a selection criterion by the receiver. The receiver can either retrieve messages in first-in-first-out order or by type. A process will block when trying to send a message to a full queue. A process will also block when trying to read from an empty queue. If a process attempts to read a message of a certain type and fails because no message of that type is present, the process is not blocked.

Shared Memory

The fastest form of interprocess communication provided in UNIX is shared memory. This is a common block of virtual memory shared by multiple processes. Processes read and write shared memory using the same machine instructions they use to read and write other portions of their virtual memory space. Permission is read-only or read-write for a process, determined on a per-process basis. Mutual exclusion constraints are not part of the shared-memory facility but must be provided by the processes using the shared memory.

Semaphores

The semaphore system calls in UNIX System V are a generalization of the `semwait` and `semSignal` primitives defined in Chapter 5; several operations can be performed simultaneously and the increment and decrement operations can be values greater than 1. The kernel does all of the requested operations atomically; no other process may access the semaphore until all operations have completed.

A semaphore consists of the following elements:

- Current value of the semaphore
- Process ID of the last process to operate on the semaphore
- Number of processes waiting for the semaphore value to be greater than its current value
- Number of processes waiting for the semaphore value to be zero

Associated with the semaphore are queues of processes blocked on that semaphore.

Semaphores are actually created in sets, with a semaphore set consisting of one or more semaphores. There is a `semctl` system call that allows all of the semaphore values in the set to be set at the same time. In addition, there is a `sem_op` system call that takes as an argument a list of semaphore operations, each defined on one of the semaphores in a set. When this call is made, the kernel performs the indicated operations one at a time. For each operation, the actual function is specified by the value `sem_op`. The following are the possibilities:

- If `sem_op` is positive, the kernel increments the value of the semaphore and awakens all processes waiting for the value of the semaphore to increase.
- If `sem_op` is 0, the kernel checks the semaphore value. If the semaphore value equals 0, the kernel continues with the other operations on the list. Otherwise, the kernel increments the number of processes waiting for this semaphore to be 0 and suspends the process to wait for the event that the value of the semaphore equals 0.
- If `sem_op` is negative and its absolute value is less than or equal to the semaphore value, the kernel adds `sem_op` (a negative number) to the semaphore value. If the result is 0, the kernel awakens all processes waiting for the value of the semaphore to equal 0.
- If `sem_op` is negative and its absolute value is greater than the semaphore value, the kernel suspends the process on the event that the value of the semaphore increases.

This generalization of the semaphore provides considerable flexibility in performing process synchronization and coordination.

Signals

A signal is a software mechanism that informs a process of the occurrence of asynchronous events. A signal is similar to a hardware interrupt but does not employ priorities. That is, all signals are treated equally; signals that occur at the same time are presented to a process one at a time, with no particular ordering.

Processes may send each other signals, or the kernel may send signals internally. A signal is delivered by updating a field in the process table for the process to which the signal is being sent. Because each signal is maintained as a single bit, signals of a given type cannot be queued. A signal is processed just after a process wakes up to run or whenever the process is preparing to return from a system call. A process may respond to a signal by performing some default action (e.g., termination), executing a signal handler function, or ignoring the signal.

Table 6.2 lists signals defined for UNIX SVR4.

Table 6.2 UNIX Signals

Value	Name	Description
01	SIGHUP	Hang up; sent to process when kernel assumes that the user of that process is doing no useful work
02	SIGINT	Interrupt
03	SIGQUIT	Quit; sent by user to induce halting of process and production of core dump
04	SIGILL	Illegal instruction
05	SIGTRAP	Trace trap; triggers the execution of code for process tracing
06	SIGIOT	IOT instruction
07	SIGEMT	EMT instruction
08	SIGFPE	Floating-point exception
09	SIGKILL	Kill; terminate process
10	SIGBUS	Bus error
11	SIGSEGV	Segmentation violation; process attempts to access location outside its virtual address space
12	SIGSYS	Bad argument to system call
13	SIGPIPE	Write on a pipe that has no readers attached to it
14	SIGALRM	Alarm clock; issued when a process wishes to receive a signal after a period of time
15	SIGTERM	Software termination
16	SIGUSR1	User-defined signal 1
17	SIGUSR2	User-defined signal 2
18	SIGCHLD	Death of a child
19	SIGPWR	Power failure

6.9 SOLARIS THREAD SYNCHRONIZATION PRIMITIVES

In addition to the concurrency mechanisms of UNIX SVR4, Solaris supports four thread synchronization primitives:


- Mutual exclusion (mutex) locks
- Semaphores
- Multiple readers, single writer (readers/writer) locks
- Condition variables

Solaris implements these primitives within the kernel for kernel threads; they are also provided in the threads library for user-level threads. Figure 6.15 shows the data structures for these primitives. The initialization functions for the primitives fill in some of the data members. Once a synchronization object is created, there are essentially only two operations that can be performed: enter (acquire lock) and release (unlock). There are no mechanisms in the kernel or the threads library to enforce mutual exclusion or to prevent deadlock. If a thread attempts to access a piece of data or code that is supposed to be protected but does not use the appropriate synchronization primitive, then such access occurs. If a thread locks an object and then fails to unlock it, no kernel action is taken.


All of the synchronization primitives require the existence of a hardware instruction that allows an object to be tested and set in one atomic operation, as discussed in Section 5.3.

Mutual Exclusion Lock


A mutex is used to ensure only one thread at a time can access the resource protected by the mutex. The thread that locks the mutex must be the one that unlocks it. A thread attempts to acquire a mutex lock by executing the `mutex_enter` primitive. If `mutex_enter` cannot set the lock (because it is already set by another thread), the blocking action depends on type-




(a) MUTEX lock



(c) Reader/writer lock



(b) Semaphore



(d) Condition variable

Figure 6.15 Solaris Synchronization Data Structures

specific information stored in the mutex object. The default blocking policy is a spin lock: a blocked thread polls the status of the lock while executing in a busy waiting loop. An interrupt-based blocking mechanism is optional. In this latter case, the mutex includes a `turnstile_id` that identifies a queue of threads sleeping on this lock.

The operations on a mutex lock are:

<code>mutex_enter()</code>	Acquires the lock, potentially blocking if it is already held
<code>mutex_exit()</code>	Releases the lock, potentially unblocking a waiter
<code>mutex_tryenter()</code>	Acquires the lock if it is not already held

The `mutex_tryenter()` primitive provides a nonblocking way of performing the mutual exclusion function. This enables the programmer to use a busy-wait approach for user-level threads, which avoids blocking the entire process because one thread is blocked.

Semaphores

Solaris provides classic counting semaphores, with the following primitives:

<code>sema_p()</code>	Decrements the semaphore, potentially blocking the thread
<code>sema_v()</code>	Increments the semaphore, potentially unblocking a waiting thread
<code>sema_tryv()</code>	Decrements the semaphore if blocking is not required

Again, the `sema_tryv()` primitive permits busy waiting.

Readers/Writer Lock

The readers/writer lock allows multiple threads to have simultaneous read-only access to an object protected by the lock. It also allows a single thread to access the object for writing at one time, while excluding all readers. When the lock is acquired for writing it takes on the status of

`write lock`: all threads attempting access for reading or writing must wait. If one or more readers have acquired the lock, its status is `read lock`. The primitives are:

<code>rw_enter()</code>	Attempts to acquire a lock as reader or writer.
<code>rw_exit()</code>	Releases a lock as reader or writer.
<code>rw_tryenter()</code>	Acquires the lock if blocking is not required.
<code>rw_downgrade()</code>	A thread that has acquired a write lock converts it to a read lock. Any waiting writer remains waiting until this thread releases the lock. If there are no waiting writers, the primitive wakes up any pending readers.
<code>rw_tryupgrade()</code>	Attempts to convert a reader lock into a writer lock.

Condition Variables

A condition variable is used to wait until a particular condition is true. Condition variables must be used in conjunction with a mutex lock. This implements a monitor of the type illustrated in Figure 6.14. The primitives are:

<code>cv_wait()</code>	Blocks until the condition is signaled
<code>cv_signal()</code>	Wakes up one of the threads blocked in <code>cv_wait()</code>
<code>cv_broadcast()</code>	Wakes up all of the threads blocked in <code>cv_wait()</code>

`cv_wait()` releases the associated mutex before blocking and reacquires it before returning. Because reacquisition of the mutex may be blocked by other threads waiting for the mutex, the condition that caused the wait must be retested. Thus, typical usage is as follows:

```
mutex_enter(&m)
```

••

```
while (some_condition) {  
    cv_wait(&cv, &m);  
}  
.  
.  
mutex_exit(&m);
```

This allows the condition to be a complex expression, because it is protected by the mutex.

8.3 UNIX AND SOLARIS MEMORY MANAGEMENT

Because UNIX is intended to be machine independent, its memory-management scheme will vary from one system to the next. Earlier versions of UNIX simply used variable partitioning with no virtual memory scheme. Current implementations of UNIX and Solaris make use of paged virtual memory.

In SVR4 and Solaris, there are actually two separate memory-management schemes. The **paging system** provides a virtual memory capability that allocates page frames in main memory to processes and also allocates page frames to disk block buffers. Although this is an effective memory-management scheme for user processes and disk I/O, a paged virtual memory scheme is less suited to managing the memory allocation for the kernel. For this latter purpose, a **kernel memory allocator** is used. We examine these two mechanisms in turn.

Paging System

Data Structures

For paged virtual memory, UNIX makes use of a number of data structures that, with minor adjustment, are machine independent (Figure 8.22 and Table 8.5):

- **Page table:** Typically, there will be one page table per process, with one entry for each page in virtual memory for that process.
- **Disk block descriptor:** Associated with each page of a process is an entry in this table that describes the disk copy of the virtual page.
- **Page frame data table:** Describes each frame of real memory and is indexed by frame number. This table is used by the replacement algorithm.
- **Swap-use table:** There is one swap-use table for each swap device, with one entry for each page on the device.

Page frame number	Age	Copy on write	Modify	Reference	Valid	Protect
--------------------------	------------	----------------------	---------------	------------------	--------------	----------------

(a) Page table entry

Swap device number	Device block number	Type of storage
---------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------

(b) Disk block descriptor

Page state	Reference count	Logical device	Block number	Pfdata pointer
-------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	-----------------------

(c) Page frame data table entry

Reference count	Page/storage unit number
------------------------	---------------------------------

(d) Swap-use table entry

Figure 8.22 UNIX SVR4 Memory Management Formats

Table 8.5 UNIX SVR4 Memory Management Parameters (page 1 of 2)

Page Table Entry	
Page frame number	Refers to frame in real memory.
Age	Indicates how long the page has been in memory without being referenced. The length and contents of this field are processor dependent.
Copy on write	Set when more than one process shares a page. If one of the processes writes into the page, a separate copy of the page must first be made for all other processes that share the page. This feature allows the copy operation to be deferred until necessary and avoided in cases where it turns out not to be necessary.
Modify	Indicates page has been modified.
Reference	Indicates page has been referenced. This bit is set to zero when the page is first loaded and may be periodically reset by the page replacement algorithm.
Valid	Indicates page is in main memory.
Protect	Indicates whether write operation is allowed.
Disk Block Descriptor	
Swap device number	Logical device number of the secondary device that holds the corresponding page. This allows more than one device to be used for swapping.
Device block number	Block location of page on swap device.
Type of storage	Storage may be swap unit or executable file. In the latter case, there is an indication as to whether the virtual memory to be allocated should be cleared first.

Table 8.5 UNIX SVR4 Memory Management Parameters (page 2 of 2)

Page Frame Data Table Entry	
Page State	Indicates whether this frame is available or has an associated page. In the latter case, the status of the page is specified: on swap device, in executable file, or DMA in progress.
Reference count	Number of processes that reference the page.
Logical device	Logical device that contains a copy of the page.
Block number	Block location of the page copy on the logical device.
Pfdata pointer	Pointer to other pfdata table entries on a list of free pages and on a hash queue of pages.
Swap-use Table Entry	
Reference count	Number of page table entries that point to a page on the swap device.
Page/storage unit number	Page identifier on storage unit.

Most of the fields defined in Table 8.5 are self-explanatory. A few warrant further comment. The Age field in the page table entry is an indication of how long it has been since a program referenced this frame. However, the number of bits and the frequency of update of this field are implementation dependent. Therefore, there is no universal UNIX use of this field for page replacement policy.

The Type of Storage field in the disk block descriptor is needed for the following reason: When an executable file is first used to create a new process, only a portion of the program and data for that file may be loaded into real memory. Later, as page faults occur, new portions of the program and data are loaded. It is only at the time of first loading that virtual memory pages are created and assigned to locations on one of the devices to be used for swapping. At that time, the

operating system is told whether it needs to clear (set to 0) the locations in the page frame before the first loading of a block of the program or data.

Page Replacement

The page frame data table is used for page replacement. Several pointers are used to create lists within this table. All of the available frames are linked together in a list of free frames available for bringing in pages. When the number of available frames drops below a certain threshold, the kernel will steal a number of frames to compensate.

The page replacement algorithm used in SVR4 is a refinement of the clock policy algorithm (Figure 8.16) known as the two-handed clock algorithm (Figure 8.23). The algorithm uses the reference bit in the page table entry for each page in memory that is eligible (not locked) to be swapped out. This bit is set to 0 when the page is first brought in and set to 1 when the page is referenced for a read or write. One hand in the clock algorithm, the fronthand, sweeps through the pages on the list of eligible pages and sets the reference bit to 0 on each page. Sometime later, the backhand sweeps through the same list and checks the reference bit. If the bit is set to 1, then that page has been referenced since the fronthand swept by; these frames are ignored. If the bit is still set to 0, then the page has not been referenced in the time interval between the visit by fronthand and backhand; these pages are placed on a list to be paged out.

Two parameters determine the operation of the algorithm:

- **Scanrate:** The rate at which the two hands scan through the page list, in pages per second
- **Handspread:** The gap between fronthand and backhand

These two parameters have default values set at boot time based on the amount of physical memory. The scanrate parameter can be altered to meet changing conditions. The parameter varies linearly between the values *slowscan* and *fastscan* (set at configuration time) as the amount of free memory varies between the values *lotsfree* and *minfree*. In other words, as the




Figure 8.23 Two-Handed Clock Page-Replacement Algorithm

amount of free memory shrinks, the clock hands move more rapidly to free up more pages. The handsread parameter determines the gap between the fronthand and the backhand and therefore, together with scanrate, determines the window of opportunity to use a page before it is swapped out due to lack of use.

Kernel Memory Allocator

The kernel generates and destroys small tables and buffers frequently during the course of execution, each of which requires dynamic memory allocation. [VAHA96] lists the following examples:

- The pathname translation routing may allocate a buffer to copy a pathname from user space.
- The `allocb()` routine allocates STREAMS buffers of arbitrary size.
- Many UNIX implementations allocate zombie structures to retain exit status and resource usage information about deceased processes.
- In SVR4 and Solaris, the kernel allocates many objects (such as proc structures, vnodes, and file descriptor blocks) dynamically when needed.

Most of these blocks are significantly smaller than the typical machine page size, and therefore the paging mechanism would be inefficient for dynamic kernel memory allocation. For SVR4, a modification of the buddy system, described in Section 7.2, is used.

In buddy systems, the cost to allocate and free a block of memory is low compared to that of best-fit or first-fit policies [KNUT97]. However, in the case of kernel memory management, the allocation and free operations must be made as fast as possible. The drawback of the buddy system is the time required to fragment and coalesce blocks.

Barkley and Lee at AT&T proposed a variation known as a lazy buddy system [BARK89], and this is the technique adopted for SVR4. The authors observed that UNIX often exhibits

steady-state behavior in kernel memory demand; that is, the amount of demand for blocks of a particular size varies slowly in time. Therefore, if a block of size 2^i is released and is immediately coalesced with its buddy into a block of size 2^{i+1} , the kernel may next request a block of size 2^i , which may necessitate splitting the larger block again. To avoid this unnecessary coalescing and splitting, the lazy buddy system defers coalescing until it seems likely that it is needed, and then coalesces as many blocks as possible.

The lazy buddy system uses the following parameters:

N_i = current number of blocks of size 2^i .

A_i = current number of blocks of size 2^i that are allocated (occupied).

G_i = current number of blocks of size 2^i that are globally free; these are blocks that are eligible for coalescing; if the buddy of such a block becomes globally free, then the two blocks will be coalesced into a globally free block of size 2^{i+1} . All free blocks (holes) in the standard buddy system could be considered globally free.

L_i = current number of blocks of size 2^i that are locally free; these are blocks that are not eligible for coalescing. Even if the buddy of such a block becomes free, the two blocks are not coalesced. Rather, the locally free blocks are retained in anticipation of future demand for a block of that size.

The following relationship holds:

$$N_i = A_i + G_i + L_i$$

In general, the lazy buddy system tries to maintain a pool of locally free blocks and only invokes coalescing if the number of locally free blocks exceeds a threshold. If there are too many

locally free blocks, then there is a chance that there will be a lack of free blocks at the next level to satisfy demand. Most of the time, when a block is freed, coalescing does not occur, so there is minimal bookkeeping and operational costs. When a block is to be allocated, no distinction is made between locally and globally free blocks; again, this minimizes bookkeeping.

The criterion used for coalescing is that the number of locally free blocks of a given size should not exceed the number of allocated blocks of that size (i.e., we must have $L_i \leq A_i$). This is a reasonable guideline for restricting the growth of locally free blocks, and experiments in [BARK89] confirm that this scheme results in noticeable savings.

To implement the scheme, the authors define a delay variable as follows:

$$D_i = A_i - L_i = N_i - 2L_i - G_i$$

Figure 8.24 shows the algorithm.

Initial value of D_i is 0

After an operation, the value of D_i is updated as follows

(I) if the next operation is a block allocate request:

if there is any free block, select one to allocate

if the selected block is locally free

then $D_i := D_i + 2$

else $D_i := D_i + 1$

otherwise

first get two blocks by splitting a larger one into two (recursive operation)

allocate one and mark the other locally free

D_i remains unchanged (but D may change for other block sizes because of the recursive call)

(II) if the next operation is a block free request

Case $D_i \geq 2$

mark it locally free and free it locally

$D_i := D_i - 2$

Case $D_i = 1$

mark it globally free and free it globally; coalesce if possible

$D_i := 0$

Case $D_i = 0$

mark it globally free and free it globally; coalesce if possible

select one locally free block of size $2i$ and free it globally; coalesce if possible

$D_i := 0$

Figure 8.24 Lazy Buddy System Algorithm

9.3 TRADITIONAL UNIX SCHEDULING

In this section we examine traditional UNIX scheduling, which is used in both SVR3 and 4.3 BSD UNIX. These systems are primarily targeted at the time-sharing interactive environment. The scheduling algorithm is designed to provide good response time for interactive users while ensuring that low-priority background jobs do not starve. Although this algorithm has been replaced in modern UNIX systems, it is worthwhile to examine the approach because it is representative of practical time-sharing scheduling algorithms. The scheduling scheme for SVR4 includes an accommodation for real-time requirements, and so its discussion is deferred to Chapter 10.

The traditional UNIX scheduler employs multilevel feedback using round robin within each of the priority queues. The system makes use of 1-second preemption. That is, if a running process does not block or complete within 1 second, it is preempted. Priority is based on process type and execution history. The following formulas apply:

$$CPU_j(i) = \frac{CPU_j(i-1)}{2}$$
$$P_j(i) = Base_j + \frac{CPU_j(i)}{2} + nice_j$$

where

$CPU_j(i)$ = Measure of processor utilization by process j through interval i

$P_j(i)$ = Priority of process j at beginning of interval i ; lower values equal higher priorities

$Base_j$ = Base priority of process j

$nice_j$ = user-controllable adjustment factor

The priority of each process is recomputed once per second, at which time a new scheduling decision is made. The purpose of the base priority is to divide all processes into fixed bands of priority levels. The *CPU* and *nice* components are restricted to prevent a process from migrating out of its assigned band (assigned by the base priority level). These bands are used to optimize access to block devices (e.g., disk) and to allow the operating system to respond quickly to system calls. In decreasing order of priority, the bands are:

- Swapper
- Block I/O device control
- File manipulation
- Character I/O device control
- User processes

This hierarchy should provide the most efficient use of the I/O devices. Within the user process band, the use of execution history tends to penalize processor-bound processes at the expense of I/O-bound processes. Again, this should improve efficiency. Coupled with the round-robin preemption scheme, the scheduling strategy is well equipped to satisfy the requirements for general-purpose time sharing.

An example of process scheduling is shown in Figure 9.17. Processes A, B, and C are created at the same time with base priorities of 60 (we will ignore the nice value). The clock interrupts the system 60 times per second and increments a counter for the running process. The example assumes that none of the processes block themselves and that no other processes are ready to run. Compare this with Figure 9.16.

Time	Process A		Process B		Process C	
	Priority	CPU Count	Priority	CPU Count	Priority	CPU Count
0	60	0	60	0	60	0
		1				
		2				
		•				
		•				
		60				
1	75	30	60	0	60	0
				1		
				2		
				•		
				•		
				60		
2	67	15	75	30	60	0
					1	
					2	
					•	
					•	
					60	
3	63	7	67	15	75	30
		8				
		9				
		•				
		•				
		67				
4	76	33	63	7	67	15
				8		
				9		
				•		
				•		
				67		
5	68	16	76	33	63	7

Colored rectangle represents executing process

Figure 9.17 Example of Traditional UNIX Process Scheduling

10.4 UNIX SVR4 SCHEDULING

The scheduling algorithm used in UNIX SVR4 is a complete overhaul of the scheduling algorithm used in earlier UNIX systems (described in Section 9.3). The new algorithm is designed to give highest preference to real-time processes, next-highest preference to kernel-mode processes, and lowest preference to other user-mode processes, referred to as time-shared processes.

The two major modifications implemented in SVR4 are:

1. The addition of a preemptable static priority scheduler and the introduction of a set of 160 priority levels divided into three priority classes.
2. The insertion of preemption points. Because the basic kernel is not preemptive, it can only be split into processing steps that must run to completion without interruption. In between the processing steps, safe places known as preemption points have been identified where the kernel can safely interrupt processing and schedule a new process. A safe place is defined as a region of code where all kernel data structures are either updated and consistent or locked via a semaphore.

Figure 10.12 illustrates the 160 priority levels defined in SVR4. Each process is defined to belong to one of three priority classes and is assigned a priority level within that class. The classes are:

- **Real time (159-100):** Processes at these priority levels are guaranteed to be selected to run before any kernel or time-sharing process. In addition, real-time processes can make use of preemption points to preempt kernel processes and user processes.
- **Kernel (99-60):** Processes at these priority levels are guaranteed to be selected to run before any time-sharing process but must defer to real-time processes.

Priority Class	Global Value	Scheduling Sequence
Real-time	159	first ↓
	•	
	•	
	•	
	100	
Kernel	99	↓
	•	
	•	
60	last	
59		
Time-shared	•	↓
	•	
	•	
	•	
	0	

Figure 10.12 SVR4 Priority Classes

- **Time-shared (59-0):** The lowest-priority processes, intended for user applications other than real-time applications.

Figure 10.13 indicates how scheduling is implemented in SVR4. A dispatch queue is associated with each priority level, and processes at a given priority level are executed in round-robin fashion. A bit-map vector, `dqactmap`, contains one bit for each priority level; the bit is set to one for any priority level with a nonempty queue. Whenever a running process leaves the Running state, due to a block, timeslice expiration, or preemption, the dispatcher checks `dqactmap` and dispatches a ready process from the highest-priority nonempty queue. In addition, whenever a defined preemption point is reached, the kernel checks a flag called `kprunrun`. If set, this indicates that at least one real-time process is in the Ready state, and the kernel preempts the current process if it is of lower priority than the highest-priority real-time ready process.

Within the time-sharing class, the priority of a process is variable. The scheduler reduces the priority of a process each time it uses up a time quantum, and it raises its priority if it blocks on an event or resource. The time quantum allocated to a time-sharing process depends on its priority, ranging from 100 ms for priority 0 to 10 ms for priority 59. Each real-time process has a fixed priority and a fixed time quantum.




Figure 10.13 SVR4 Dispatch Queues

11.8 UNIX SVR4 I/O

In UNIX, each individual I/O device is associated with a special file. These are managed by the file system and are read and written in the same manner as user data files. This provides a clean, uniform interface to users and processes. To read from or write to a device, read and write requests are made for the special file associated with the device.

Figure 11.12 illustrates the logical structure of the I/O facility. The file subsystem manages files on secondary storage devices. In addition, it serves as the process interface to devices, because these are treated as files.

There are two types of I/O in UNIX: buffered and unbuffered. Buffered I/O passes through system buffers, whereas unbuffered I/O typically involves the DMA facility, with the transfer taking place directly between the I/O module and the process I/O area. For buffered I/O, two types of buffers are used: system buffer caches and character queues.

Buffer Cache

The buffer cache in UNIX is essentially a disk cache. I/O operations with disk are handled through the buffer cache. The data transfer between the buffer cache and the user process space always occurs using DMA. Because both the buffer cache and the process I/O area are in main memory, the DMA facility is used in this case to perform a memory-to-memory copy. This does not use up any processor cycles, but it does consume bus cycles.

To manage the buffer cache, three lists are maintained:

- **Free list:** List of all slots in the cache (a slot is referred to as a buffer in UNIX; each slot holds one disk sector) that are available for allocation
- **Device list:** List of all buffers currently associated with each disk
- **Driver I/O queue:** List of buffers that are actually undergoing or waiting for I/O on a particular device




Figure 11.12 UNIX I/O Structure

All buffers should be on the free list or on the driver I/O queue list. A buffer, once associated with a device, remains associated with the device even if it is on the free list, until it is actually reused and becomes associated with another device. These lists are maintained as pointers associated with each buffer rather than physically separate lists.

When a reference is made to a physical block number on a particular device, the operating system first checks to see if the block is in the buffer cache. To minimize the search time, the device list is organized as a hash table, using a technique similar to the overflow with chaining technique discussed in Appendix 8A (Figure 8.27b). Figure 11.13 depicts the general organization of the buffer cache. There is a hash table of fixed length that contains pointers into the buffer cache. Each reference to a (device#, block#) maps into a particular entry in the hash table. The pointer in that entry points to the first buffer in the chain. A hash pointer associated with each buffer points to the next buffer in the chain for that hash table entry. Thus, for all (device#, block#) references that map into the same hash table entry, if the corresponding block is in the buffer cache, then that buffer will be in the chain for that hash table entry. Thus, the length of the search of the buffer cache is reduced by a factor of on the order of N , where N is the length of the hash table.

For block replacement, a least-recently-used algorithm is used: After a buffer has been allocated to a disk block, it cannot be used for another block until all other buffers have been used more recently. The free list preserves this least-recently-used order.

Character Queue

Block-oriented devices, such as disk and tape, can be effectively served by the buffer cache. A different form of buffering is appropriate for character-oriented devices, such as terminals and printers. A character queue is either written by the I/O device and read by the process or written by the process and read by the device. In both cases, the producer/consumer model introduced in Chapter 5 is used. Thus, character queues may only be read once; as each character is read, it is




Figure 11.13 UNIX Buffer Cache Organization

effectively destroyed. This is in contrast to the buffer cache, which may be read multiple times and hence follows the readers/writers model (also discussed in Chapter 5).

Unbuffered I/O

Unbuffered I/O, which is simply DMA between device and process space, is always the fastest method for a process to perform I/O. A process that is performing unbuffered I/O is locked in main memory and cannot be swapped out. This reduces the opportunities for swapping by tying up part of main memory, thus reducing the overall system performance. Also, the I/O device is tied up with the process for the duration of the transfer, making it unavailable for other processes.

UNIX Devices

Among the categories of devices recognized by UNIX are the following:

- Disk drives
- Tape drives
- Terminals
- Communication lines
- Printers

Table 11.5 shows the types of I/O suited to each type of device. Disk drives are heavily used in UNIX, are block oriented, and have the potential for reasonable high throughput. Thus, I/O for these devices tends to be unbuffered or via buffer cache. Tape drives are functionally similar to disk drives and use similar I/O schemes.

Because terminals involve relatively slow exchange of characters, terminal I/O typically makes use of the character queue. Similarly, communication lines require serial processing of bytes of data for input or output and are best handled by character queues. Finally, the type of

I/O used for a printer will generally depend on its speed. Slow printers will normally use the character queue, while a fast printer might employ unbuffered I/O. A buffer cache could be used for a fast printer. However, because data going to a printer are never reused, the overhead of the buffer cache is unnecessary.

Table 11.5 Device I/O in UNIX

	Unbuffered I/O	Buffer Cache	Character Queue
Disk drive	X	X	
Tape drive	X	X	
Terminals			X
Communication lines			X
Printers	X		X

12.7 UNIX FILE MANAGEMENT

In the UNIX file system, six types of files are distinguished:

- **Regular, or ordinary:** Contains arbitrary data in zero or more data blocks. Regular files contain information entered in them by a user, an application program, or a system utility program. The file system does not impose any internal structure to a regular file but treats it as a stream of bytes.
- **Directory:** Contains a list of file names plus pointers to associated inodes (index nodes), described later. Directories are hierarchically organized (Figure 12.4). Directory files are actually ordinary files with special write protection privileges so that only the file system can write into them, while read access is available to user programs.
- **Special:** Contains no data, but provides a mechanism to map physical devices to file names. The file names are used to access peripheral devices, such as terminals and printers. Each I/O device is associated with a special file, as discussed in Section 11.8.
- **Named pipes:** As discussed in Section 6.7, a pipe is an interprocess communications facility. A pipe file buffers data received in its input so that a process that reads from the pipe's output receives the data on a first-in-first-out basis.
- **Links:** In essence, a link is an alternative file name for an existing file.
- **Symbolic links:** This is a data file that contains the name of the file it is linked to.

In this section, we are concerned with the handling of ordinary files, which correspond to what most systems treat as files.

Inodes

All types of UNIX files are administered by the operating system by means of inodes. An inode (index node) is a control structure that contains the key information needed by the operating

system for a particular file. Several file names may be associated with a single inode, but an active inode is associated with exactly one file, and each file is controlled by exactly one inode.

The attributes of the file as well as its permissions and other control information are stored in the inode. Table 12.4 lists the file attributes stored in the inode of a typical UNIX implementation.

On the disk, there is an inode table, or inode list, that contains the inodes of all the files in the file system. When a file is opened, its inode is brought into main memory and stored in a memory-resident inode table.

Table 12.4 Information in a UNIX Disk-Resident Inode

File Mode	16-bit flag that stores access and execution permissions associated with the file.
12-14	File type (regular, directory, character or block special, FIFO pipe)
9-11	Execution flags
8	Owner read permission
7	Owner write permission
6	Owner execute permission
5	Group read permission
4	Group write permission
3	Group execute permission
2	Other read permission
1	Other write permission
0	Other execute permission
Link Count	Number of directory references to this inode
Owner ID	Individual owner of file
Group ID	Group owner associated with this file
File Size	Number of bytes in file
File Addresses	39 bytes of address information
Last Accessed	Time of last file access
Last Modified	Time of last file modification
Inode Modified	Time of last inode modification

File Allocation

File allocation is done on a block basis. Allocation is dynamic, as needed, rather than using preallocation. Hence, the blocks of a file on disk are not necessarily contiguous. An indexed method is used to keep track of each file, with part of the index stored in the inode for the file. The inode includes 39 bytes of address information that is organized as thirteen 3-byte addresses, or pointers. The first 10 addresses point to the first 10 data blocks of the file. If the file is longer than 10 blocks long, then one or more levels of indirection is used as follows:

- The eleventh address in the inode points to a block on disk that contains the next portion of the index. This is referred to as the single indirect block. This block contains the pointers to succeeding blocks in the file.
- If the file contains more blocks, the twelfth address in the inode points to a double indirect block. This block contains a list of addresses of additional single indirect blocks. Each of single indirect blocks, in turn, contains pointers to file blocks.
- If the file contains still more blocks, the thirteenth address in the inode points to a triple indirect block that is a third level of indexing. This block points to additional double indirect blocks.

All of this is illustrated in Figure 12.13. The first entry in the inode contains information about this file or directory (Table 12.4). The remaining entries are the addresses just described. The total number of data blocks in a file depends on the capacity of the fixed-size blocks in the system. In UNIX System V, the length of a block is 1 Kbyte, and each block can hold a total of 256 block addresses. Thus, the maximum size of a file with this scheme is over 16 Gbytes (Table 12.5).

This scheme has several advantages:




Figure 12.13 Layout of a UNIX File on Disk

Table 12.5 Capacity of a UNIX File

Level	Number of Blocks	Number of Bytes
Direct	10	10K
Single Indirect	256	256K
Double Indirect	$256 \times 256 = 65K$	65M
Triple Indirect	$256 \times 65K = 16M$	16G

1. The inode is of fixed size and relatively small and hence may be kept in main memory for long periods.
2. Smaller files may be accessed with little or no indirection, reducing processing and disk access time.
3. The theoretical maximum size of a file is large enough to satisfy virtually all applications.

Directories

Directories are structured in a hierarchical tree. Each directory can contain files and/or other directories. A directory that is inside another directory is referred to as a subdirectory. As was mentioned, a directory is simply a file that contains a list of file names plus pointers to associated inodes. Figure 12.14 shows the overall structure. Each directory entry (dentry) contains a name for the associated file or subdirectory plus an integer called the i-number (index number). When the file or directory is accessed, its i-number is used as an index into the inode table.

Volume Structure

A UNIX file system resides on a single logical disk or disk partition and is laid out with the following elements:

- **Boot block:** Contains code required to boot the operating system




Figure 12.14 UNIX Directories and Inodes

- **Superblock:** Contains attributes and information about the file system, such as partition size, and inode table size
- **Inode table:** The collection of inodes for each file
- **Data blocks:** Storage space available for data files and subdirectories